

BROADWAY NOTE-BOOK.

MEN AND THINGS, THE COUNTRY ROUND.
THE PERSONAL NOTES AND NOTICES OF A BROAD-
WAY LOUNGER.

Mary Anderson has been on Broadway often in the week past, dressed generally in black. Beautiful anywhere, she is perhaps least distinguished in the Broadway promenade among our thousands of elegant women, but she excels all these in grace, wholesomeness, and like ambition. Shall I say a sapphoine ambition—or did that word draw meaning from less than Sappho's yellow eyes? Mary Anderson's eyes, however, are good of that amber hue so often seen in Kentucky belles. They are, close inspection, blue eyes, light, delicate blue, but in acting they seem black. This poor girl, a step daughter, found the way herself to raise her mother and family to case and pride in her. She did not go around in a redress, any way, saying, "I'm trying to act." She had studied a while plan and parts of others before she ever obtained audience of an actor. The good nature of her step father at last won her a hearing from Mr. McCullough, and that old doctor has felt his gratitude and all his kindness has been overbalanced. Coming with her parents, her fame, the light of her beauty, has been projected. She is a religious girl. Between devout faith and ne'er-hearing aspiration, she has continued to run upon her, keeping her beauty fresh and keeping cancer away. Improvement has gone hand in hand with study. She is near the eve of fame itself. Her need is now artistic more than the need of more power. Her physical powers are perfect—ripe, voice, countenance, health, stature, joy. And she can yet learn grace, harmony of motion, and the sweet expression between her arms and her thoughts which the woman too in her system expresses. Art must be her pupil here—an such as the dancer finds patient, persistent, instructive of impulse, making the limbs at last obey the inner master yet concealed. She is likely to find this tuition on the Continent of Europe. Modesta has it in perfection, though with fewer gifts than our rising native queen. Miss Anderson at twenty-four directs her theatre with the resources and knowledge of an old manager. Miss Kate Forsythe,—also palmating and ambitious—needs to add to returning from Cincinnati. Sometimes I think if Mary Anderson could get systematic training—it she had, for instance, not advanced so rapidly, she might be the greatest actress the world has ever seen."

That a Greek teacher in New-York has written a somewhat remarkable play called "The Wife of Millets," and tried, in vain, to have John McCullough play it. McCullough has done nothing for the literature of the stage, while Barron has made his greatest point by reviving Mr. Bober's old piece, "Francesca da Rimini." The native Greek author of this piece represents a wife married to a Greek gentleman of not much force. The Greeks capture their city and take the wife away to wait for tribute. They take her to France and she lives in the chief's house with his mother. The two fall in love but the barbarian is an honorable enemy and host. At last, on the eve of her reclamation, the woman's passion for the Gaul breaks forth. It makes her a friend. She accuses her husband of concealing part of the tribute so that he may be dispatched; she asks the Gaul to dispatch him. The husband, also made honorable by the Gaul's chivalry, comes forward and gives up voluntarily the concealed portion of the tribute. Then the woman tells her lover that she will kill her husband while he sleeps. She calls the oracle, which bids him beware of unloved love. As the husband is about to take his wife across the intervening river the Gaul stabs her and exhibits tablets in proof of her treachery. "But, Greek. I loved her!" says the Gaul, and kills himself.

Rumors increase all along the line of the West Shore and Buffalo Railroad that the Lake Shore and the Nickel Plate interests are to operate it. These rumors are in general begotten of an apprehension of fire, but I hear them from Cleveland to Weehawken. This absence of any litigation between the old and the new lines, the presence of a strong executive spirit of the Lake Shore road in the direction of the West Shore, and the probable necessity of maintaining rates and avoiding railroad wars, are appearances rather than proofs of a somewhat disconcerting concord.

My friend Smith, from a distance, said to me: "I am very much surprised when I go down-town among the financial leaders, to find how little confidence they have in themselves. They do not speak with authority. What is it?" Said I: "Mr. Smith, it may be because many of them did not make their money in a way to get confidence. When a man finds himself powerful beyond his sense of desert, can he respect the stability of his times? If you grow an oak in a horse bucket, what will the top of the tree mourn as to the root thereof?" Smith became grave.

It is thought that Connington was irritated into making his speech at Clark Bell's dinner, saying he was ten years behind the reason by seeing the highfalutin extravagance in broad and meat there and the delicate connoisseur in wine.

An artist who has done a good deal of useful designing for work on American history is Alphonse Chapell, now about fifty years of age, who lives at Silver Lake, Long Island. Private illustrations of books on thousands of subjects, in which are combined portrait and imagination. The poet John G. Whittier has recently concerned distinguished honor upon this artist by saying:

"I thank William Wetmore's engraving of Maud Muller from Mr. Chapell's sketch is the best and most satisfactory illustration of the poem which I have ever seen." The artist's pride of this picture represents the Judge sitting on his horse while Maud Muller rides up to him her cap filled with water from the spring. She is a rather bottom, rosy specimen of the Yankee haymaker. The farm buildings where she lives are denoted close by. Maud has a tall and handsome bare arms. Her hair in the hay field is near at hand. The picture not only realizes the meaning of the poet but in its posture is very clearly characteristic of the place and time.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.

Mr. John D. Townsend, the lawyer, has materially added to his practice by the success with which he contested the right of the Palmer brothers to dock the pay of a policeman who was struck or otherwise disabled. It has given the habit to subtract from each disabled man half or less than half of their pay and apply it to some other individual, giving him his entire share of the regular employee's salary. Mr. Townsend and one of the police officers involved in this case were on the bench. The Commissioners demanded that the Court of Appeals try it over again, a proceeding seldom allowed by that high bench, and on this occasion they employed Judge Hand, recently retired from the same bench. Mr. Townsend again won the suit and the bill of costs was held in near at hand. The court then adjourned to consider the bill of costs.